



Joint Implementation Action Group

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee
Attn. Mr. Oderson
P.O. Box 260124
D-53153 Bonn
Germany

Subject: Suggestions from the JIAG for JISC 20

Dear Chair of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC),
Dear members and alternate members of the JISC,

Outcome Copenhagen

The JIAG had hoped that the Copenhagen COP would provide the conditions for further investments in Joint Implementation by adopting in ambitious post-2012 emission targets. While this did not happen, Parties were invited to submit proposals for their post-2012 targets as part of the Copenhagen Accord. On the 1st of February 55 countries had submitted their targets. This gives confidence for the continued commitment to clear emission targets, under which Joint Implementation (JI) provides for flexibility by allowing private and public sector entities to invest in reductions.

The JIAG finds it encouraging that the JISC has been mandated by the CMP to present a proposal to the CMP in Mexico in December 2010 on “making improvements for the future operation of joint implementation”.¹ The JIAG looks forward to hearing the JISCs views on how to develop its vision for post-2012. Furthermore, CMP requested the JISC to improve the transparency of Track 1 projects through a web-based interface. JIAG stands ready to present its views also on this topic during JISC20.

Work plan JISC 2010

Besides the two items above, we would like to encourage the JISC to start and review the “guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring”. This document has been adopted during JISC04 and many projects have been developed since then, following the guidance of this document. The JIAG believes that lessons can be learned from the experience gained by project participants and AIEs.

¹ Draft decision -/CMP.5, Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, article 11 and 13.

Belarus and Kazakhstan

In the JIAG's submission for JISC19, the Group raised the issue of Belarus and Kazakhstan. Both countries that are considered an Annex I Party but do not have Annex B status. In this situation they are excluded from benefiting from any of the flexible mechanisms and from access to the global carbon markets, thereby preventing private capital to help implement mitigation measures. The JIAG encourages the JISC to address these issues and discuss possible solutions.

Annual report

The JIAG is currently drafting its annual report 2009 and a strategy for 2010. The JIAG believes that JI can be a blueprint for other mechanisms that operate in a capped environment. The Group therefore actively participates in discussions around Domestic Offsets under article 24a of the EU ETS Directive and the offsets mechanisms in the USA.

With kind regards,

Lennard de Klerk
JIAG Chair

Annex 1: JIAG Members

| JIAG members | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | Company | Nominated representative |
| 1 | Global Carbon (chair) | Mr. Lennard de Klerk |
| 2 | Climate Focus (secretariat) | Ms. Charlotte Streck, Mr. Jelmer Hoogzaad |
| 3 | Core Carbon Group | Mr. Morten Prehn Sorensen |
| 4 | Camco International | Mr. Charles Purshouse |
| 5 | Vertis Environmental Finance | Mr. James Atkins |
| 6 | Carbon Trade & Finance | Mr. Ingo Ramming |
| 7 | FutureCamp | Mr. Roland Geres |
| 8 | GreenStream Network | Mr. Jussi Nykanen |